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Abstract

We investigated the emergence of discriminative responses to pitch by recording 2-, 3-, and 4-month-old infants’ electroencephalogram responses to infrequent pitch changes in piano tones. In all age groups, infants’ responses to deviant tones were significantly different from responses to standard tones. However, two types of mismatch responses were observed simultaneously in the difference waves. An increase in the left-lateralized positive slow wave was prominent in 2-month-olds, present in 3-month-olds, but insignificant in 4-month-olds. A faster adultlike mismatch negativity (MMN), lateralized to the right hemisphere, emerged at 2 months of age and became earlier and stronger as age increased. The coexistence and dissociation of two types of mismatch responses suggests different underlying neuromechanisms for the two responses. Furthermore, the earlier emergence of the MMN-like component to changes in pitch compared to other sound features implies that neural circuits involved in generating MMN-like responses have different maturational timetables for different sound features.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, event-related potentials (ERPs) have started to become an alternative method for studying auditory perception in infants because they do not depend on any overt responses, which are hard to obtain in infants. One ERP component, the mismatch negativity (MMN), has been extensively analyzed in studies of auditory discrimination in adults (for reviews, see Näätänen, Jacobsen, & Winkler, 2005; Picton, Alain, Otten, Ritter, & Achim, 2000; Schröger, 1998; Näätänen & Alho, 1995, 1997). MMN is elicited by infrequent changes (deviant stimuli) in an ongoing stream of sound events (standard stimuli). MMN is most clearly seen in the difference wave obtained by subtracting the average response to standard stimuli from the average response to deviant stimuli. MMN appears as a negativity that peaks between 130 and 250 msec after stimulus onset depending on the difficulty of the discrimination and whether the deviants represent a change in pitch, duration, loudness, category, pattern, or other abstract sound feature. MMN manifests at the scalp as a bilateral frontal negativity concurrent with an inverted polarity at posterior and mastoid sites, consistent with primary generators in the two auditory cortices, and is thought to reflect the operation of updating sensory memory traces (Näätänen & Alho, 1997). MMN is of particular interest in developmental studies because it does not require a behavioral response and, although affected by attention, attention is not required for its elicitation (e.g., Sussman, Ritter, & Vaughan, 1998; Oades & Dittmann-Balcar, 1995; Näätänen, Paavilainen, Tiitinen, Jiang, & Alho, 1993). However, different studies reported different developmental trajectories for MMN in infants (e.g., Trainor et al., 2003; Trainor, Samuel, Desjardins, & Sonnadara, 2001; Leppänen, Piäko, Eklund, & Lytinen, 1999; Leppänen, Eklund, & Lytinen, 1997; Cheour-Luhtanen, Alho, & Sainio, 1996; Dehaene-Lambertz & Dehaene, 1994; Alho, Sainio, Sajaniemi, Reinikainen, & Näätänen, 1990). In the present study, we used high-resolution electroencephalogram (EEG) recordings to examine the development of mismatch responses to pitch changes in infants between 2 and 4 months of age.

Pitch perception is essential for both language and music acquisition in infancy. In speech, before infants understand the meanings of words, they are particularly attentive to the pitch contours (e.g., Fernald & Mazzi, 1991; Werker & McLeod, 1989; Fernald & Kuhl, 1987), which convey emotional meaning. Pitch contours also provide cues that help infants to learn vowel categories (Trainor & Desjardins, 2002) and delineate stress patterns that provide cues to lexical and grammatical boundaries (Jusczyk & Derrah, 1987). In music, infants can remember the pitch patterns of melodies (Trehub, 2001) and recognize familiar melodies transposed in pitch (Plantinga & Trainor, 2005) before they understand words or are sensitive to the particular scales used in their music system of exposure (Trainor & Trehub, 1992). Behavioral studies have indicated that infants’ frequency discrimination abilities improve rapidly over...
the first few months after birth (e.g., Olsho, Koch, Halpin, & Carter, 1987; Sinnott & Aslin, 1985; Maxon & Hochberg, 1982; Olsho, Schoon, Sakai, Turpin, & Sperduto, 1982; Kessen, Levine, & Wendrich, 1979). For frequencies above 2 kHz the thresholds of 6-month-olds approach those of adults for frequencies of 4 kHz. For frequencies below 2 kHz, infants can already detect changes of 3% at 3 months of age, although this is considerably above thresholds of adults (less than 1%), and adult levels are not reached until well into childhood.

Since the first work by Alho et al. (1990), a number of studies have tried to elicit an MMN-like response in newborns and young infants by using pitch changes in pure or complex tones. Table 1 summarizes the methods and major findings of these studies. A negativity in the difference wave similar to the adult MMN has been reported in infants from preterm newborns to 1-year-olds (Hirasawa, Kurihara, & Konishi, 2003; Čeponiené et al., 2000, 2002; Alho et al., 1990). However, a number of studies instead report a slow positive component in the difference wave ranging from 200 to 450 msec (Leppänen, Guttorm, Pihko, Takkinen, & Lyytinen, 2004; Morr, Shafer, Kreuzer, & Kurtzberg, 2002; Cheour et al., 1999; Leppänen et al., 1997). A similar positive component has not been reported in the adult literature. Such conflicting results have also been reported in infant MMN studies using other sound features. For example, Cheour et al. (1998) and Cheour-Luhtanen et al. (1996) reported MMN-like negativity in newborns in response to vowel changes, whereas Friedrich, Weber, and Friederici (2004) and Friederici, Friedrich, and Weber (2002) reported a broad positivity using similar vowel sounds. Dehaene-Lambertz and Pena (2001), Dehaene-Lambertz (2000), and Dehaene-Lambertz and Dehaene (1994) found a positivity for syllable changes in newborns, 3-month-olds, and 4-month-olds. Trainor et al. (2001, 2003) reported a positive response in 2-month-old infants but a negative response in 6-month-old infants to fine temporal changes. However, for occasional changes in sound location, a negative response is not seen until 8 months of age (Sonnadara, Hotson, & Trainor, 2005). To date, the question as to why two kinds of mismatch responses have been reported in infants is largely unresolved.

A number of hypotheses have been proposed for when positivities and when negativities will be seen. One possibility is that the state of the infant plays a role. For example, Friedrich et al. (2004) reported that negativities were more apparent in awake than in asleep infants. It has also been suggested that differences might reflect physiological characteristics of infants, but the findings are inconsistent across studies. Cheour et al. (1999) and Čeponienė et al. (2002) found a negativity in normal newborns but a positivity in cleft palate newborns. However, Pihko et al. (1999) reported a positivity in normal newborns and a negativity in newborns at risk for dyslexia. Leppänen et al. (2004) found a positivity for more mature newborns and a negativity for less mature newborns. It is possible that stimulus differences might be involved in these discrepancies, but this is unlikely because both Cheour et al. and Leppänen et al. used pure tones.

It is possible that methodological differences across studies may explain why some studies report negativities, whereas others report positivities (Leppänen et al., 2004). For example, placement of reference electrodes above versus below the sylvian fissure can cause the polarity of components generated in auditory cortex to invert when measured at the scalp. However, studies using the same reference channel have reported different polarities in infants (e.g., Čeponienė et al., 2002; Cheour et al., 1998; Leppänen et al., 1997, 1999), suggesting that reference differences are not responsible for the different mismatch polarities reported.

It is also possible that methodological differences across studies cause different neuromechanisms to be activated, leading to different observed responses. For example, interstimulus interval (ISI) can interact with the refractory periods of neural circuits. The negative response may reflect a nonrefractory memory-comparison-based change detection process (Jacobsen & Schröger, 2001), whereas the positivity may reflect a release from a refractory state because the stimulus change activates new neural circuits. Because refractory periods in early infancy would be expected to be longer due to immature myelination and synaptic functioning (Moore, 2002), optimal ISIs for MMN elicitation could be different in infants and in adults. However, studies using comparable ISIs have reported both the negative and the positive mismatch response in infants (e.g., Čeponienė et al., 2002; Leppänen et al., 1999). Thus, the effect of ISI alone cannot explain the positive versus negative mismatch responses.

An alternative possibility is that the negative and positive responses to change might reflect different neural processes, perhaps taking place in different cortical layers (Trainor et al., 2003). Morr et al. (2002) reported the presence of a negative response to pitch changes in infants under 12 months of age to large, but not to small, pitch changes, suggesting that different processes may be used for easy and hard discriminations. It is also possible that the negative response might reflect a true mismatch process, whereas the positive slow wave might represent an involuntary attention shift (Kushnerenko et al., 2002) or the automatic categorization of stimuli (Friedrich et al., 2004). Furthermore, if the two processes could occur at the same time, the positivity could potentially mask the negativity because of their overlapping latencies (Morr et al., 2002).

Because the positive mismatch response is reported as a broad slow wave, whereas the negative mismatch response is typically reported as an adultlike fast component, different filter settings may be able to distinguish these components. Most studies use a high-pass
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Age and State</th>
<th>f0 of Standards (S) and Deviants (D) (Hz)</th>
<th>Duration (d) and ISI (msec)</th>
<th>Filters (Hz)</th>
<th>ERP Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alho et al. (1990)</td>
<td>Newborns in quiet sleep</td>
<td>Pure tone, S = 1000, D = 1200</td>
<td>d = 40, ISI = 570</td>
<td>0.5–30</td>
<td>Negativity at 296 msec (Fz) and 270 msec (Cz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leppänen et al., 1997</td>
<td>Newborns in quiet sleep</td>
<td>Pure tone, S = 1000, D = 1100, 1300</td>
<td>d = 74, ISI = 351</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>Positivity, 250–300 msec, both conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheour et al., 1999</td>
<td>Newborns (healthy and cleft palate groups) in active sleep</td>
<td>Pure tone, S = 1000, D = 1100</td>
<td>d = 100, ISI = 700</td>
<td>1–30</td>
<td>Negativity, 200–500 msec in healthy group and three of nine in cleft palate group Positivity in rest of cleft palate newborns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Čeponiené et al., 2000</td>
<td>Newborns in active sleep and 6-month-olds mostly awake (healthy and cleft palate group)</td>
<td>Pure tone, S = 1000, D = 1100</td>
<td>d = 100, ISI = 700</td>
<td>1–30</td>
<td>Negativity, 80–300 msec in healthy newborns and all 6-month-olds No peak or smaller negativity (250–450 msec) in cleft palate newborns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Čeponiené et al., 2002</td>
<td>Newborn in active sleep</td>
<td>Harmonic tones with 3 partials, S = 500, D = 750</td>
<td>d = 200, ISI = 600</td>
<td>1–15</td>
<td>Negativity, 80–300 msec in 81% of subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kushnerenko et al., 2002</td>
<td>Infants recorded every 3 months from newborn to 12 months old, kept awake except newborns in active sleep, others awake</td>
<td>Harmonic tones with three partials, S = 500, D = 750</td>
<td>d = 100, ISI = 700</td>
<td>1–15</td>
<td>Negativity, 80–300 msec and positivity 250–450 msec in 75% of infants at each age, but MMN not consistent within subjects across age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirasawa et al., 2003</td>
<td>Newborn in quiet sleep, active sleep, or awake</td>
<td>Pure tone, S = 1000, D = 1100</td>
<td>d = 100, ISI = 900 (Experiment 1), variable ISI = 350, 900, 1400 (Experiment 2)</td>
<td>0.1–40</td>
<td>Negativity 150–450 in all groups and conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leppänen et al., 2004</td>
<td>Newborns (more mature, less mature according to heart period and gestational age) in quiet sleep</td>
<td>Pure tone, S = 1000, D = 1100</td>
<td>d = 74, ISI = 351</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>Negativity in less mature newborns Positivity in more mature newborns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellman et al., 2004</td>
<td>Newborns, 3, 6, 9, 12, and 15 months (preterm and full term); newborns in active sleep, others awake</td>
<td>Harmonic tones with three partials, S = 500, D = 750</td>
<td>d = 100, ISI = 700</td>
<td>1–15</td>
<td>Full-term infants, negativity 50–150 msec in newborns and 150–250 msec at 3, 12, and 15 months; not significant at 6 and 9 months’ positivity 250–350 in all ages Preterm infants: both components found to develop later than full-term infants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
filter with a cut-off between 0.1 and 1.0 Hz (see Table 1), none of which will entirely filter out the slow wave if present. Even so, Weber, Hahne, Friedrich, and Frederici (2003) found that the positive slow wave was reduced and a negativity was more clearly seen when a 1.0-Hz high-pass filter was used than when a 0.3-Hz high-pass filter was used on the same data on word stress changes in 4- and 5-month-old infants, although the negativity was not significant in either case. Case et al. (2003) filtered more aggressively, comparing 0.1- and 3.0-Hz high-pass filter settings, and were able to observe MMN-like negativities in some 3- and 4-month-old infants with the higher filter setting in a fine temporal discrimination task. These results suggest that filtering might serve as a useful tool for dissociating the two types of change responses.

Adults do not show the slow positive response to change, and previous work in our laboratory suggests that, in a temporal gap-detection task, there is a transition from a predominance of the positive response at 2 months to a predominance of the negative response at 6 months. To investigate further the idea that the positive response is seen predominantly in younger infants and the negative response predominantly in older infants, the present study examines responses to pitch change across age.

In adults, the neural circuits involved in generating the MMN differ somewhat depending on the specific feature change to be detected. For example, different sound features (e.g., intensity, frequency, or location) elicit MMN with different amplitudes and latencies (Deouell & Bentin, 1998), topographic maps (Paavilainen, Alho, Reinväinen, Sams, & Nääätänen, 1991), source dipole locations (Giard et al., 1995), source models in MMN, the magnetic counterpart of the MMN, (Rosburg, 2003; Levänen, Ahonen, Hari, McEvoy, & Sams, 1996), and activation locations in event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging studies (Molholm, Martínez, Ritter, Javitt, & Foxe, 2005). Behavioral data also indicate that auditory discrimination for different sound features matures at different ages for a review, see Werner & Marean, 1996). Finding that the transition from a predominantly positive to a predominantly negative response matures at different ages for different sound features would add to the evidence that there are multiple MMN mechanisms specialized for different sound features.

Conducting a detailed study of how responses to pitch change develop across age would also be useful in that, although this information is crucial for evaluating developmental theories of MMN development, it is not currently available. Most ERP studies of pitch change tested only newborns, except the exceptions being Čeponiené et al. (2000; 6-month-olds), Morr et al. (2002; 2- to 42-month-olds), Kushnerenko et al. (2002; 2- to 43-month-olds, 3- 6-, and 9-month-olds), and Fellman et al. (2004; 42-to 43-month-olds, 3- 6-, 9-, and 12-month-olds). The Čeponiené et al. study showed that most infants exhibit MMN-like negativity at 6 months of age, but did not test younger infants. Morr et al. did test younger infants, but grouped the data from 2- to 7-month-olds together, precluding investigation of the brain correlates paralleling behavioral improvements in pitch discrimination during this period. Kushnerenko et al. found an MMN-like negativity followed by a positivity in 75% of infants at each age between newborn and 12 months with no significant age effect. However, in this study, individual infants showing MMN at one age did not consistently show it at another age, either older or younger. In addition, examination of the waveforms illustrated in the original article shows clear changes across age, with MMN becoming more clear with increasing age, although these are not reported to be significant. These facts suggest potential signal to noise problem, perhaps caused by the number of infants tested or the small number of epochs obtained in individual infants (not reported). The waveforms shown in Fellman et al. clearly show a prominent slow positive wave in newborns, and MMN appears to emerge at 3 months for full-term infants, but not for preterm infants corrected for gestational age.

In sum, the question as to how the mismatch response to pitch changes develops in early infancy remains largely unanswered. The present study investigated the development of positive and negative mismatch responses to pitch changes in infants between 2 and 4 months of age using natural complex tones (piano timbre). An oddball paradigm was employed in which one piano tone, C5 (f0 = 523.25 Hz), acted as the standard and a different piano tone, F#5 (f0 = 740 Hz), acted as the deviant. Dense array EEG recordings were obtained, and different band-pass filters were applied to investigate the characteristics of the two types of infant mismatch responses across age. The presence of both components at certain ages in the same infants would provide strong evidence that they represent two different neural mechanisms.

METHODS

Participants

A total of 39 healthy, full-term infants ranging in age from 2 to 4 months with no known hearing deficits were included in the present study. Written consent was obtained from parents for their infants’ participation. The final sample consisted of fourteen 4-month-olds (9 female), eleven 3-month-olds (6 female), and fourteen 2-month-olds (11 female). All infants were awake during the testing. An additional three 2-month-olds were eliminated from the final sample because they fell asleep. Furthermore, 13 infants (six 2-month-olds, three 3-month-olds, and four 4-month-olds) were excluded from the final sample because for each of these infants,
the mean number of artifact-free deviant trials across all 124 electrodes was less than 100.

**Stimuli**

Monaural piano tones were synthesized with Reason 2.0 software (Propellerhead Software, Stockholm, Sweden). Standard and deviant stimuli were piano notes C₅ and F♯₅, with fundamental frequencies of 523.25 and 740 Hz, respectively. The duration of both stimuli was 600 msec with a 200-msec ISI. During testing, recorded stimuli were played by E-prime 1.1 software (Psychology Software Tools, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA) on a Dell OptiPlex280 computer with an Audigy 2 platinum sound card (Creative Labs, Singapore). All stimuli were presented over a speaker (WestSun Jason Sound JS1P63, Mississauga, ON, Canada) located one meter directly in front of the participant at a level of 70 dB(A) at the location of the infant’s head, over a background noise level of 29 dB(A).

**Procedure**

The infant was seated on his or her parent’s lap in a sound-attenuating room (Industrial Acoustics Co., Winchester, UK). A silent video (Baby Einstein) was played to keep infants happy and still. An oddball paradigm was used in which standard stimuli were presented on 80% and deviant stimuli on 20% of the trials. Stimulus order was randomized with the constraint that at least two standards occurred between successive deviants. A complete experiment consisted of 1600 trials, but testing was stopped early if infants became fussy, so the number of trials obtained in accepted subjects across all electrodes varied between 560 and 1600, with a mean of 1186 trials.

**Data Acquisition and Analyses**

EEG was recorded from 124 locations on the scalp by using a Geodesic Sensor Net (Electrical Geodesics, Inc., Eugene, OR). All electrode impedances were maintained below 50 kΩ.

The electrical potential was digitized at 1000 Hz for the majority of participants (14 participants were digitized at 250 Hz). The online recording was referenced to the vertex (electrode Cz in the 10/20 system) with a band-pass filter of 0.1–400 Hz.

To investigate the ERP components within different frequency bands, three different band-pass filter settings were implemented offline: 0.5–20, 3–20, and 0.5–5 Hz. Roll-off for all filters was set to 24 dB/oct. The filtered continuous data were then segmented into 700-msec epochs, including a 100-msec prestimulus-onset baseline. EEG responses exceeding ±120 µV in any epoch were considered artifact and omitted from averaging. The remaining standard and deviant trials were averaged separately, excluding the standard trials immediately following deviant trials. Because it is only possible to obtain a small number of trials from each infant, the above rejection process was carried out for each electrode individually in order to remove the trials contaminated by artifacts (mainly due to blinks, eye or head movement) in electrodes near the noise source while preserving the trials in relatively unaffected electrodes. For each infant and for each electrode, the mean number of artifact-free deviant trials was counted; if the mean number across all 124 electrodes was less than 100, the data from that infant were excluded from further analysis. The mean numbers of accepted deviant trials across all infants and all electrodes were as follows: 2-month-olds: M = 197, SD = 25; 3-month-olds: M = 213, SD = 18; 4-month-olds: M = 231, SD = 22. The averaged waveforms were then baseline corrected and referenced to an average reference. Difference waves were obtained by subtracting the standard from the deviant waveforms. Grand average difference waves were computed for each age group. Voltage maps were computed at the peak latency of the fast negative, fast positive, and slow positive waves based on the grand averaged difference waves for each age group.

For statistical analysis, 94 electrodes were selected to be divided into five groups for each hemisphere (Figure 1), and the waveforms for all electrodes in each group were averaged together to represent scalp brain responses at the frontal (20 electrodes), central (20 electrodes), parietal (20 electrodes), occipital (16 electrodes), and temporal (18 electrodes) regions. Because we were primarily interested in the development of MMN, the temporal regions were not analyzed, as they could potentially contain overlapping components such as the T complex. Thirty electrodes were excluded from the above averages due to the following considerations: 9 electrodes in the forehead near the eyes in order to further reduce the contamination of eye movement artifacts, 12 electrodes at the edge of the Geodesic net to reduce contamination of face and neck muscle movement, and 9 electrodes in the midline to enable comparison of the EEG response between hemispheres.

In the 3- to 20-Hz band-pass condition, in order to test which part of the difference wave was significantly different from zero in each age group, two-tailed t tests were calculated at each time point of the difference wave across all infants in that age group. The peak latency and amplitude of the MMN-like negativity were measured in each infant at each of the eight regions defined in Figure 1. The negativity was defined as the largest negative peak between 100 and 300 msec at frontal and central regions (and as the largest positive peak between 100 and 300 msec at parietal and occipital regions due to the polarity inversion) and the positivity following the negativity was defined as the largest positive peak between 200 and 400 msec following the negative peak at frontal and central regions (and as the largest negativity in this window at parietal and
occipital regions). Separate three-way analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were calculated for the peak latencies and absolute values of the amplitudes to test whether they varied significantly across age, hemisphere, and brain region.

For the 0.5- to 3-Hz band-pass condition, the component of interest was the slow positive wave. In order to compare the standard and deviant waveforms under this filter setting, the amplitude and latency of the slow positive peak between 100 and 400 msec in each case was identified. This time window was chosen because the slow wave peaked around 250 msec and extended from about 100 to 400 msec. ANOVAs were used to test whether the slow positive wave differed significantly across age, hemisphere, and region.

The Greenhouse–Geisser correction was applied to all within-subjects measures with more than two levels in all of the above ANOVA tests. The Tukey HSD test was used for post hoc comparisons. The Bonferroni correction was used for within-subject comparisons.

RESULTS
Description of Waveforms

Group average ERP waveforms of the standard and deviant waves for each of the electrode groups (bandpass filter setting: 0.5–20 Hz) across all 2-, 3-, and 4-month-olds are shown in Figure 2. Two-month-old deviant and standard waveforms were dominated by a broad positive slow wave between 100 and 400 msec at frontal and central regions, which reversed polarity at the parietal, occipital, and temporal regions. The amplitude of the slow frontal positive component was larger...
in deviant than in standard waves during the period between about 200 to 400 msec (see difference waves, Figure 3). Similar broad positive components were also observed in the standard and deviant waves for 3-month-olds, but in this age group, the deviant waves were more negative than the standard waves between 150 to 250 msec at frontal sites and more positive between 250 and 350 msec. This gave rise to a difference wave pattern with a small MMN-like negativity around 200 msec followed by a positivity around 300 msec. Both components reversed polarity at posterior sites (Figure 3). For 4-month-olds, the positive component was less spread out in time in the standard waves and the deviant waves showed a double peak pattern with two overlapping positive peaks. Difference waves showed the same two fast components as in the 3-month-olds (Figure 3). Figure 3 (bottom) compares the difference waves across the three age groups at electrode Fz.

This transition of the infant mismatch response from a slow positive wave to a MMN-like negativity is similar to that reported by Trainor et al. (2003) for gap discrimination, although the transition appears to occur earlier for pitch discrimination (2 to 4 months of age) than for gap discrimination (4 to 6 months of age). Additionally, the present experiment found that the adult MMN-like negativity observed in 3- and 4-month-olds and the slow positive difference wave in 2-month-olds, overlapped in latency range; thus, the slow positive wave might potentially mask the fast negativity at some ages. Because it is clear that the slow positive wave and the faster MMN-like negativity differ in frequency characteristics (see also Trainor et al., 2003; Weber et al., 2003), different band-pass filter settings were applied to isolate these two components and further study their developmental trajectories.

Development of MMN-like Negativity and P3a-like Positivity: Results under 3- to 20-Hz Band-pass Filtering

When the zero phase shift band-pass filter between 3 and 20 Hz was applied, the slow components were largely filtered out. In the obtained grand average difference waves (Figure 4), a negativity around 200 msec followed by a positivity can be observed in all three age groups.

Paired t tests were employed to determine the latencies at which the amplitudes of deviant and standard waves were significantly different (see bars over difference waves in Figure 4). The MMN-like negativity around 200 msec was significant only at the left frontal (FL) and right frontal (FR) regions in 2-month-olds but it achieved

Figure 2. Grand average waveforms of the standard and deviant waves for each of the three age groups (left: 2-month-olds; middle: 3-month-olds; right: 4-month-olds) for all eight scalp regions with band-pass filtering between 0.5 and 20 Hz.
significance at six out of eight regions in the 3-month-olds and at all eight regions in the 4-month-olds. The positivity following the negativity around 300 msec was also significant only at the FL and FR regions in 2-month-olds but was significant in seven regions in 3-month-olds and in all regions in 4-month-olds.

To examine how the MMN-like negativity and the following positivity changed by age, region, and hemisphere, the peak latencies and absolute amplitudes of the MMN-like negativity (or the following positivity) in all three age groups were measured for each infant in all eight regions and subjected to three-way repeated measures ANOVAs. The dependent variable was peak latency or amplitude (separate ANOVAs for latency and amplitude). The within-subject factors were hemisphere (left, right) and brain region (frontal, central, parietal, occipital). The single between-subjects factor was age (2, 3, and 4 months).

For the peak latency of the MMN-like component, the main effects of age, $F(2,36) = 6.08, p < .005$; hemisphere, $F(1,36) = 10.08, p < .003$; and region, $F(3,108) = 3.32, p = .02$, were significant. Tukey post hoc tests indicated that the peak latencies in 2-month-olds ($M = 214$ msec) and 3-month-olds ($M = 212$ msec) were significantly longer (2- vs. 4-month-olds, $p = .007$; 3- vs. 4-month-olds, $p = .03$) than in 4-month-olds ($M = 199$ msec). For hemisphere, latencies were shorter ($p < .003$) in the right hemisphere ($M = 205$ msec) than in the left hemisphere ($M = 212$ msec). Post hoc tests indicated significant regional differences only between the parietal ($M = 214$ msec) and central ($M = 204$ msec, $p = .04$) regions.

For the absolute peak amplitude of the MMN-like component, the ANOVA showed significant main effects for age, $F(2,36) = 10.85, p < .001$; hemisphere, $F(1,36) = 7.38, p = .01$; and region, $F(3,108) = 3.55, p = .02$. Post hoc tests indicated that the amplitudes of the MMN-like component in 3-month-olds ($M = 1.06 \mu V$) and 4-month-olds ($M = 1.22 \mu V$) were larger (3- vs. 2-month-olds, $p = .008$; 4- vs. 2-month-olds,
than in 2-month-olds (M = 0.55 μV). The main effect of hemisphere reflected significantly larger (p = .01) amplitudes in the right (M = 1.03 μV) than in the left hemisphere (M = 0.86 μV). The main effect of region arose from the fact that the amplitude of MMN-like negativity was smaller in the parietal region (M = .82 μV) than in the frontal (M = 1.02 μV, p = .02) and occipital (M = 1.01 μV, p = .01) regions.

The positivity following the negativity showed nearly identical effects as with the MMN-like negativity. For the peak latency of the positivity following the negativity, the main effects of age, F(2,36) = 15.62, p < .001; hemisphere, F(1,36) = 4.87, p = .03; and region, F(3,108) = 3.47, p = .02, were significant. Post hoc tests indicated that the peak latencies in 2-month-olds (M = 322 msec) and 3-month-olds (M = 316 msec) were significantly earlier (2- vs. 4-month-olds, p < .001; 3- vs. 4-month-olds, p < .001) than in 4-month-olds (M = 293 msec). For the hemisphere effect, latencies were shorter (p = .03) in the right hemisphere (M = 308 msec) than in the left hemisphere (M = 313 msec). Post hoc pairwise comparison tests with Bonferroni correction found no significant regional differences.

For the absolute peak amplitude of the positivity following the negativity, there were significant main effects of age, F(2,36) = 13.99, p < .001; hemisphere, F(1,36) = 8.87, p = .005; and region, F(3,108) = 8.40, p < .001. Post hoc tests indicated that the amplitudes of the positivity in 3-month-olds (M = 1.24 μV) and 4-month-olds (M = 1.33 μV) were larger (3- vs. 2-month-olds, p = .001; 4- vs. 2-month-olds, p < .001) than in 2-month-olds (M = .575 μV). The peak amplitude in the left hemisphere (M = 96 μV) was smaller (p = .03) than in the right hemisphere (M = 1.14 μV). Similar to the results for the MMN-like negative peak, the parietal region had a smaller peak amplitude (M = .859 μV) than the frontal (M = 1.14 μV, p = .004) and occipital (M = 1.19 μV, p < .001) regions.

The topographic voltage maps at the mean peak latency of the MMN-like negative peak and at the peak of the following positivity are shown in Figure 6 for each age group (top and middle). Both the MMN-like
negativity and the following positivity have larger amplitudes in the right than in the left hemisphere, especially for the 3- and 4-month groups. Several studies suggest that the positivity following the MMN-like negativity in infants may be related to involuntary shifts of attention (Čeponienė et al., 2002; Kushnerenko et al., 2002), and hence analogous to the P3a component in adults. Although the P3a only follows the MMN in adults when attention is captured, immature inhibitory attentional mechanisms in infants may result in attentional capture being the norm, and hence P3a-like processes may typically follow the MMN in infants.

**Positive Slow Wave: Results under 0.5- to 3-Hz Band-pass Filtering**

The 0.5- to 3-Hz band-pass filter was employed to isolate the slow positive wave as much as possible. The peak amplitudes and latencies of the positive peak in the standard and deviant waveforms (see Figure 5) in all three age groups were measured for each infant in all eight regions and subjected to two four-way ANOVAs, one with peak amplitude and one with peak latency as the dependent variables. The between-subject factors were stimulus type (standard, deviant), region (frontal, central, parietal, occipital), and hemisphere (left, right). The single within-subjects factor was age (2, 3, and 4 months).

For amplitude, the main effects of stimulus type, $F(1,36) = 18.47, p < .001$; hemisphere, $F(1,36) = 5.92, p = .02$; and region, $F(3,108) = 31.52, p < .001$, were significant, as well as interactions between stimulus type and age, $F(2,36) = 7.10, p = .003$, and between region and hemisphere, $F(3,108) = 7.09, p < .001$. Post hoc tests indicated that the peak amplitude of the deviants ($M = 2.86 \, \mu V$) was significantly larger ($p < .001$) than that of the standards ($M = 1.84 \, \mu V$). As to the significant stimulus type by age interaction, post hoc tests revealed that the deviant peaks were significantly larger than standard peaks in both 2-month-olds ($M_{\text{deviant}} = 2.10 \, \mu V, M_{\text{standard}} = 1.12 \, \mu V, p < .001$) and 3-month-olds ($M_{\text{deviant}} = 2.63 \, \mu V, M_{\text{standard}} = 1.93 \, \mu V, p = .005$). However, no significant peak amplitude differences were found for 4-month-olds ($M_{\text{deviant}} = 2.399 \, \mu V, M_{\text{standard}} = 2.480 \, \mu V$). The main effect of region arose from the fact that the peak amplitude was smaller in the central ($M = 1.13 \, \mu V$) than in the frontal ($M = 2.54 \, \mu V, p < .001$), parietal ($M = 2.19 \, \mu V, p < .001$), and occipital ($M = 2.57 \, \mu V, p < .001$) regions. Frontal peaks were also significantly larger ($p = .029$) than parietal peaks.
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**Figure 5.** Grand average standard and deviant waves for each of the three age groups (left: 2-month-olds; middle: 3-month-olds; right: 4-month-olds) for all eight scalp regions with band-pass filtering between 0.5 and 3 Hz.
hemisphere, peak amplitudes were larger ($p = .02$) in the left hemisphere ($M = 2.28 \mu V$) than in the right hemisphere ($M = 1.94 \mu V$). Post hoc tests revealed that the larger amplitudes in the left hemisphere were significant for parietal ($p < .001$) and occipital ($p = .002$) regions but not for the other two regions.

For the peak latencies, the ANOVA showed significant main effects for age, $F(1,36) = 4.63, p = .016$, and stimulus type, $F(1,36) = 12.95, p = .001$, and a significant interaction between age and stimulus type, $F(2,36) = 9.34, p = .001$. Post hoc tests indicated that the peak latencies in 2-month-olds ($M = 232$ msec) and 3-month-olds ($M = 236$ msec) were longer (2- vs. 4-month-olds, $p = .05$; 3- vs. 4-month-olds, $p = .03$) than in 4-month-olds ($M = 207$ msec). Pairwise comparisons showed that the peak latency in standard waves was significantly shorter than those of deviants in 4-month-olds ($M_{deviant} = 226$ msec, $M_{standard} = 189$ msec, $p < .001$) but there was no significant latency difference for 2- and 3-month-olds.

The analysis outlined above indicates that the slow positive wave was significantly increased in deviants compared to standards for 2- and 3-month-olds, but not for 4-month-olds. As can be seen in the whole-head topographical voltage maps (Figure 6), the slow positive wave was larger in the left than in the right hemisphere, which is opposite to the MMN-like and P3a-like responses. It is possible that the 0.5 to 3 Hz filter setting did not entirely eliminate the faster MMN-like negativity and P3a-like responses. However, it is unlikely that the P3a-like response had much influence on the slow positive wave peaks. First, in 3-month-olds the 95% confidence interval for the latency of the slow positive wave was between 220 and 252 msec, which is much earlier than the P3a-like positivity that peaked at 316 msec. Similarly, in 4-month-olds, the 95% confidence interval for the slow positive wave was between 193 and 221 msec, which is much earlier than the P3a-like positivity, which peaked at 293 msec, although the later latency of the deviant compared to standard slow

**Figure 6.** Whole-head topographical voltage maps for the MMN-like negativity, P3a-like positivity, and slow positive wave (not shown for 4-month-olds because the component was not significant) for each of the three age groups.
positive wave components in 4-month-olds might reflect some influence of the P3a-like component. However, if the waves under the 0.5 to 3 Hz filter were contaminated by the P3a-like positivity, the deviant positive peaks would be expected to be significantly larger than the standard positive peaks in the 4-month-olds, who had the largest P3a-like positivity, but no differences were found in this age group.

In sum, although the MMN-like negativity increased in amplitude with age, the effect of occasional pitch changes on the amplitude of the slow positivity decreased with age, disappearing by 4 months. The fact that both the MMN-like negativity and the slow positivity were clearly present at 3 months of age further suggests that these components represent different processes in the developing brain.

DISCUSSION

In the current experiment, we recorded 2-, 3-, and 4-month-old infants’ EEG responses to infrequent pitch changes in piano tones. In all three age groups, infants’ responses to deviant tones were significantly different from responses to standard tones. However, the differences in 2-month-olds were dominated by a slow positive wave, whereas the two older groups showed a faster prominent adultlike MMN/P3a-like response pattern, although the slow positive wave also remained clear in the 3-month-old data. Two band-pass filter settings were applied to further investigate slow and fast mismatch responses. The results under the 3 to 20 Hz filter setting confirmed that the adultlike MMN/P3a-like responses were significant in only a few scalp regions at 2 months of age but achieved significance in most regions at 3 months of age and in all regions at 4 months of age. Both the MMN-like negativity and the following P3a-like positivity were stronger in the right than in the left hemisphere. Moreover, with increasing age, both peaks increased in amplitude and decreased in latency. On the other hand, the results under the 0.5 to 3 Hz filter setting indicated that the peak amplitude difference between standard and deviant slow waves was significant in 2- and 3-month-olds but not in 4-month-olds. In addition, both deviant and standard slow waves were larger in the left than in the right hemisphere in 2- and 3-month-olds.

The present results demonstrate the existence of two types of infant mismatch responses. The MMN/P3a-like response pattern in older infants is similar to that reported by other studies in infants at comparable ages (e.g., Fellman et al., 2004; Kushnirenko et al., 2002; Morr et al., 2002; Trainor et al., 2001, 2003; Cheour et al., 1998; Cheour-Luhtanen et al., 1996). The slow positive wave is also similar to that reported in several studies (e.g., Sonnadara et al., 2005; Friedrich et al., 2004; Trainor et al., 2003; Friederici et al., 2002; Dehaene-Lambertz & Pena, 2001; Dehaene-Lambertz, 2000; Leppänen et al., 1999, 2004). In addition, the current study confirmed the findings of Trainor et al. (2003) and Weber et al. (2003) that different types of mismatch responses can be separated by using different band-pass filters.

As far as we are aware, the current study is among the first to report both a significant slow positive wave and a significant MMN/P3a-like response to the same stimulus in the same infants (the 3-month-old group) analyzed in the same data set, which demonstrates that the two types of mismatch responses can indeed coexist during a certain period in early infancy. We also show that although the two responses overlap in latency, they can be separated by using different filter settings because they differ in duration. Furthermore, the MMN/P3a-like response showed a right-hemisphere dominance, and increased in amplitude and decreased in latency with increasing age, whereas the slow positive wave was left-hemisphere dominant and decreased in amplitude with increasing age. This dissociation of the two mismatch responses in their developmental trajectories and topographical distribution patterns suggests even more strongly that they are very likely to be independent processes with independent underlying neuromechanisms.

The current results demonstrate that there is a developmental transition in infants’ mismatch response from a slow positive wave to an MMN-like fast negativity in early infancy for infrequent pitch changes (Trainor et al., 2001, 2003) and is consistent with the Trainor et al. (2001, 2003) study of gap detection tasks and the study by Sonnadara et al. (2005) on sound localization tasks. However, despite the similar developmental pattern, the transition found here for pitch takes place between 2 and 4 months of age, which is earlier than that found for gap detection (4 to 6 months of age) and localization tasks (after 8 months of age). These different maturational timetables confirm our hypothesis of feature-specific MMN development. Furthermore, earlier MMN maturation for pitch discrimination than for gap detection mirrors the earlier behavioral maturation of pitch discrimination (for a review, see Werner & Marean, 1996) in comparison to gap detection (Irwin, Ball, Kay, Stillman, & Rosser, 1985).

A question that remains largely unanswered is the nature of the two types of mismatch responses in early infancy. In general, the characteristic slow wave component seen in early infancy is thought to result from the poorly myelinated connections between neurons in the immature brain (Paus et al., 2001; Vaughan & Kurtzberg, 1992). The slow wave may thus disappear as the process of myelination takes place. However, this does not indicate what the increased amplitude of the slow wave in response to occasional change actually represents. It has been hypothesized that the positive slow wave may reflect a release from a refractory state (Čeponienė et al., 2002) or an automatic categorization of stimuli.
(Friedrich et al., 2004) or an immature type of P3a response representing an involuntary attention shift (Kushnirenko et al., 2002). However, to date, we do not have a definitive answer to this question.

Nor are the neural generators of the slow wave clear. Trainor et al. (2003) discuss several possibilities. Because anatomical data from human autopsies indicate that the neurofilaments necessary for fast, effective synaptic communication are only present in layer I of the auditory cortex during the first months after birth (Moore & Guan, 2001), and layer I is not involved in discriminative processes in adults, one possibility is that the slow waves involve a subcortical sink (depolarization) with a passive circuit-completing source in layer 1. However, this seems unlikely because thalamic field potentials are not visible at the scalp in adults, and the input to layer I is nonspecific in adults. A second possibility proposed by Trainor et al. is that neurons in layer IV are depolarizing even though they do not have functional synapses (Huttenlocher & Dabholkar, 1997), and these depolarizations are accompanied by passive returns located in layer I. The scalp potentials appear as slow waves because highly synchronized depolarization is not possible in layer IV because of the immaturity of their synaptic function.

Similarly, although several studies (e.g., Friedrich et al., 2004; Morr et al., 2002; Čeponiènè et al., 2000, 2002; Cheour et al., 1998; Cheour-Luhtanen et al., 1996) suggest that the MMN-like response to change seen in infancy could represent discrimination processes in auditory cortex similar to those underlying the MMN response in adults, this interpretation also needs validation. The source of MMN is thought to be in the supragranular layers of A2, including layers II and upper III (Javitt, Steinschneider, Schroeder, Vaughan, & Arezzo, 1994). However, according to Moore (2002) and Moore and Guan (2001), only layer I is fully functional before 4 months of age, with mature synapses beginning to develop in deeper layers (IV, V, VI) around 4 months of age, but not in layers II and upper III until after 5 years of age. Thus, the generators and underlying mechanisms of the MMN-like negativity seen in infants may in fact be quite different from that seen in adults in terms of neural mechanisms and function. More research is needed in order to investigate the nature of the mismatch response in infants and its underlying neural generators.

Overall, the current study demonstrated that there are two types of mismatch responses to infrequent pitch changes coexisting during a certain period in early infancy. The adultlike MMN/P3a-like response pattern becomes more prominent, whereas the slow positive difference wave diminishes as age increases. Furthermore, the maturational timetables according to which these mismatch responses develop are different for different stimulus features, with early emergence of the MMN-like negativity for pitch discrimination compared to gap discrimination or sound localization. The coexistence of two types of responses and the dissociation of their developmental trajectories also suggests that they have separate underlying neuromechanisms.
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